12th August 1965]

THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I move—

"That the Madras Agricultural Income-tax (Amendment) Bill, 1965 (L.A. Bill No. 24 of 1965), as passed by the Assembly, be passed".

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

"That the Madras Agricultural Income-tax (Amendment) Bill, 1965 (L.A. Bill No. 24 of 1965), as passed by the Assembly, be passed".

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was passed.

(3) THE HOLDINGS (STAY OF EXECUTION PROCEEDINS) MADRAS AMENDMENT) BILL, 1965 (L.A. BILL NO. 25 OF 1965).

THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I move—

"That the Holdings (Stay of (Execution Proceedings) (Madras Amendment) Bill, [a] 1965 (L.A. Bill No. 25 of 1965), as passed by the Assembly, be taken into consideration".

The Holdings (Stay of Execution Proceedings) Act, 1950 (Travancore-Cochin Act VIII of 1950) was in force in the Kanyakumari district and Shencottah taluk at the time of their transfer to this State from the former Travancore-Cochin State in 1956. Consequent on the extension to the Shencottah taluk, of the Cultivating Tenants Protection and Fair Rent Acts by Madras Act 28 of 1959, with effect from 2nd March 1960, the Holding (Stay of Execution Proceedings) Act ceased to be in force in the taluk. It is now in force only in the Kanyakumari district.

The Act provides for the stay of proceedings in execution of a decree in a suit for the recovery of possession of a holding, so far as they related to the delivery of possession of the holding.

The Act is due to expire on the 24th September 1965. We have recently passed certain Acts for the abolition of certain special tenures prevalent in the Kanyakumari district and for the introduction of ryotwari settlement therein on the lines of the ryotwari settlement prevalent in the rest of the State. Pending the implementation of these Acts, and pending the abolition of the special tenure relating to Sreepadam lands in Kanyakumari district, for which legislation has yet to be undertaken, it is considered necessary to extend the life of Travancore-Cochin Act VIII of 1950 by two years from the 25th September 1965. The Bill seeks to achieve the above object. Therefore, I request the House to accept and pass the motion.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

"That the Holdings (Stay of Execution Proceedings) (Madras Amendment) Bill, 1965 (L.A. Bill No. 25 of 1965), as passed by the Assembly, be taken into consideration".

---

a Printed as Appendix IV on pages 657–658 infra.

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was taken into consideration.

Clause 2 was put and carried.

Clause 1, the Long Title and the Enacting Formula were put and carried.

THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I move—

"That the Holdings (Stay of Execution Proceedings) (Madras Amendment) Bill, 1965 (L.A. Bill No. 25 of 1965), as passed by the Assembly, be passed".

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

"That the Holdings (Stay of Execution Proceedings) (Madras Amendment) Bill, 1965 (L.A. Bill No. 25 of 1965), as passed by the Assembly, be passed".

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was passed.

(4) THE MADRAS (TRANSFERRED TERRITORY) EXTENSION OF LAWS BILL, 1965 (L.A. BILL NO. 26 OF 1965).

* THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I move—

'That the Madras (Transferred Territory) Extension of Laws Bill, 1965 [a] (L.A. Bill No. 26 of 1965), as passed by the Assembly, be taken into consideration'.

Sir, this Bill is to extend a few laws which have not yet been extended to the transferred territory of Kanyakumari district and Shencottah taluk of the Tirunelveli district. The schedules give the laws which are proposed to be extended and the laws which are proposed to be repealed on account of the extension of certain laws. I move that the Bill be taken into consideration.

MR. CHAIRMAN : The question is—

'That the Madras (Transferred Territory) Extension of Laws Bill, 1965 (L.A. Bill No. 26 of 1965), as passed by the Assembly, be taken into consideration'.

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was taken into consideration.

Clauses 2 to 12 were put and carried.

Schedules I to III were put and carried.

Clause 1, the Long Title, and the Enacting Formula were put and carried.

---

a Printed as Appendix V on pages 658–667 infra.